	1	
1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMI	PTON LLP
2	TRACEY A. KENNEDY, Cal. Bar No. 150782 NAMAL TANTULA, Cal. Bar No. 247373 BRETT YOUNG, Cal. Bar No. 305657 333 South Hope Street, 43 rd Floor	
3		
4		
5	Los Angeles, California 90071-1422 Telephone: 213.620.1780	
6	Facsimile: 213.620.1398 Email: tkennedy@sheppardmullin.co	
7	ntantula@sheppardmullin.com byoung@sheppardmullin.com	
8	PATRICIA M. JENG, Cal. Bar No. 272262 SUSAN HAINES, Cal. Bar No. 224611	
9	Four Embarcadero Center, 17 th Floor	
10	San Francisco, California 94111-4109 Telephone: 415.434.9100	
11	Facsimile: 415.434.3947 Email: pjeng@sheppardmullin.com	
12	shaines@sheppardmullin.com	
13	Attorneys for Defendants, TESLA, INC. dba TESLA MOTORS, INC.	
14	LDUTED CTAI	CEG DIGEDICE COLUDE
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16		TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17	DEMETRIC DI-AZ, OWEN DIAZ AND LAMAR PATTERSON	Case No. 17-cv-06748-WHO
18	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF PATRICIA M. JENG IN
19	v.	SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT TESLA, INC.'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P.
20	TESLA, INC. DBA TESLA MOTORS, INC., CITISTAFF SOLUTIONS, INC.;	50(a)
21	WEST VALLEY STAFFING GROUP; CHARTWELL STAFFING SERVICES,	Courtroom: 2, 17 th Floor
22	INC.; NEXTSOURCE, INC.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive	Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick
23	Defendants.	Trial Data: Santambar 27, 2021
24	Defendants.	Trial Date; September 27, 2021 Complaint Filed: October 16, 2017
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case No. 17-cv-06748-WHO

1 **DECLARATION** 2 I, Patricia M. Jeng, declare as follows: 3 I am currently an attorney with the law firm Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton, 1. 4 LLP which serves as Defendant Tesla, Inc.'s ("Tesla") attorneys of record in the above-captioned 5 matter. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and, if called as a witness, could and would 6 testify competently to such facts under oath. 7 8 2. Exhibit 1 to this declaration consists of true and correct copies of excerpts of the 9 September 29, 2021 Official Trial Transcript in the above captioned matter. 10 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. 11 Executed this 1st day of October 2021, at San Francisco, California. 12 13 /s/ Patricia M. Jeng 14 Patricia M. Jeng 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Case No. 17-cv-06748-WHO

JENG DECLARATION ISO DEFENDANT TESLA'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT

SMRH:4840-7384-6013.1

EXHIBIT 1

Diaz vs Tesla, Inc. C 17-6748 WHO Volume 3

```
Volume 3
                                                                                  Pages 369 - 544
                             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                           NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
                    BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ORRICK
DEMETRIC DI-AZ, OWEN DIAZ AND LAMAR PATTERSON
                      Plaintiffs,
                                                                 No. C 17-6748 WHO
TESLA, INC., dba TESLA MOTORS, INC., CITISTAFF SOLUTIONS, INC., WEST VALLEY STAFFING GROUP,
CHARTWELL STAFFING SERVICES, INC., and DOES 1-50, inclusive,
                                                                  San Francisco, California
Wednesday
September 29, 2021
8:00 a.m.
                      TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
APPEARANCES:
                                          ALEXANDER MORRISON & FEHR LLP
1900 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 900
For Plaintiffs:
                                         Suite 900
Los Angeles, California 90067
BERNARD ALEXANDER, ESQ.
                                 BY:
                                         CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW GROUP 332 San Anselmo Avenue San Anselmo, California 94960 LAWRENCE A. ORGAN, ESQ. CIMONE A. NUNLEY, ESQ.
                 (APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)
                               Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR Official Reporter - US District Court Computerized Transcription By Eclipse
Reported By:
```

```
APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)
For Defendants:
                                        SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
                                        333 S. Hope Street
43rd Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017
TRACEY A. KENNEDY, ESQ.
                               BY:
                                        SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON, LLP
                               379 Lytton Ave
Palo Alto, California 94301
BY: PATRICIA M. JENG, ESQ.
                               SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
Four Embarcadero Center
17th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
BY: SUSAN Q. HAINES, ESQ.
Also Present:
                                           JOSEPH ALM, ESQ. - Tesla, Inc.
                                           YUSUF MOHAMED, ESQ.
                                             Tesla, Inc.
                                           VALERIE CAPERS WORKMAN - Tesla, Inc.
```

370

372

```
371
                              PROCEEDINGS
     Wednesday - September 29, 2021
                                                     8:01 a.m.
 1
 2
                         PROCEEDINGS
 3
                               ---000---
 4
          (Proceedings were heard out of presence of the jury.)
 5
             THE COURT: All right. There are two things on my
    mind this morning. One is the counter designations and
 7
    objections regarding Erin Marconi. And I think in every
 8
    instance I'm going to overrule the objection to the counter
 9
    designation and overrule the objection to the testimony. So
    all of the testimony can come in that the parties are
10
11
    interested in.
12
             MR. ORGAN: Your Honor, just a question on that then.
    With respect to how they are presented, do you want the
13
    designations presented with the counter designations then
14
15
     together?
16
             THE COURT: Yeah, I think that's the smoothest way of
17
     dealing with things.
18
             MR. ORGAN: So we'll have one video.
19
             THE COURT: One video.
20
             MR. ORGAN: In terms of the time, Your Honor --
21
             THE COURT: You time it yourself.
22
             MR. ORGAN: We'll produce that tonight. So we'll --
23
    it's our intent to present it, then, tomorrow, Your Honor.
24
             THE COURT: Great.
25
             MR. ORGAN: Okay. Thank you.
```

just wanted to mention, yesterday I allowed Wayne Jackson to 2 testify about his experience of hearing the "N" word throughout the factory. And on Monday I admitted Exhibit 106 concerning 4 5 Mr. Romero's credibility regarding his hearing of the "N" word. 6 I did so because it appears from Tesla's presentation of the case, from the opening of the argument to the designations 8

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: All right. And then the other thing I

in the depositions, that it wishes to minimize the use of the "N" word in the workplace at Tesla, which is fine, but so this counter evidence I think is relevant.

I am willing and inclined to give the jury a further limiting instruction, and I can do that this morning. It would be primarily at the defendant's -- I'll let the defendant decide whether this is something that you would like or not, but it would be to the effect of:

16 As you know, I admitted Exhibit 106 for the limited purpose of your consideration of the credibility of 17 Mr. Romero's testimony. And yesterday I allowed Mr. Jackson to 19 testify about his experience hearing the "N" word in the Tesla factory. 21

I want to remind you that this case is about Mr. Diaz and the work environment that he experienced at the Tesla factory, not what others in a different part of the facility experienced.

25 MS. KENNEDY: Yes, Your Honor, that would be

Debra L. Pas, CRR Official Reporter - U.S. District Court (415) 431-1477

9

10 11

12

14

15

18

20

22

23

2.4

Diaz vs Tesla, Inc. C 17-6748 WHO Volume 3

DIAZ - CROSS / KENNEDY THE COURT: Well, if it's better to see Mr. Organ, 1 2 yes. 3 MS. KENNEDY: I'll move it back a little farther. MR. ORGAN: I think he wants you to move it back. MS. KENNEDY: I'll move it back. That's better. 5 BY MS. KENNEDY: Q. Mr. Diaz, as part of your employment with CitiStaff, you actually completed a direct deposit form so you could be paid 9 through CitiStaff; correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Did you ever complete any type of direct deposit forms for 12 Tesla? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Mr. Diaz, did you ever get my type of written contract 15 from Tesla? MR. ORGAN: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion, 16 17 Your Honor. THE COURT: You can answer that. Any sort of a 18 19 written agreement from Tesla? 2.0 THE WITNESS: I did get an agreement, but I don't know 21 if the NDA was part that. So can you be a little more specific, ma'am? 23 BY MS. KENNEDY 24 Q. Sure. <u>25</u> Did you ever get any written document from Tesla saying

498 DIAZ - CROSS / KENNEDY that you were a Tesla employee at any point in time? A. No, ma'am. 0. Lets go to Exhibit 19. MS. KENNEDY: 19 has been admitted, Your Honor. It's the badge. THE COURT: Okay. 7 (Document displayed.) BY MS. KENNEDY Q. Mr. Diaz, you got this badge, I think you said, around your first day of employment with CitiStaff Solutions; is that 11 12 A. I got that badge the first day I walked inside of Tesla. 13 Q. Right. And that was before you said you started your 14 safety trainings; correct? Orientations and your safety trainings? 16 A. Yes. Q. And as you can see, it says "Contractor" on the left-hand 17 side of your badge. Do you see that? 18 A. Yes, I see that under the "Certified Forklift" sign, 2.0 ma'am. 21 Q. Right. And on top of that it says "Tesla Motors"; correct?

499

23

24

A. Yes.

DIAZ - CROSS / KENNEDY

- 1 A. No. It looks beat up because it was in my wallet, ma'am.
- 2 Q. Okay. You had to have it on you at all times; correct?
- 3 A. Yes. That's the only way I can get in and out of the
- 4 building.
 5 Q. Right. So this Tesla badge was to let you get entry into
- 6 the facility; correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And is it also -- do you have an understanding as to
- 9 whether any contractor, whether they're a contractor through a
- 10 staffing agency or a third-party contractor, who is coming in
- 11 to the factory where you worked for more than a few days, if
- 12 they have to go through any type of safety training to be on
- 13 the factory floor?
- 14 A. I wouldn't have knowledge of that, ma'am.
- 15 Q. So you have no idea what the safety orientation
- 16 requirements are for any visitors to the factory in Fremont;
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And do you know if lawyers, for example, or accountants
- 20 who are coming in who have to be on the factory floor, if they
- 21 have to go through any type of safety training before they are
- 22 allowed on the Fremont factory floor?
- 23 A. Can you repeat that question, please?
- 24 Q. Certainly. Do you have any knowledge one way or the other
- 25 if, for example, lawyers or accountants or anyone else coming

DIAZ - CROSS / KENNEDY

you were in the Tesla facility; correct?

- 1 to the Tesla Fremont facility and they're going to be on the
- 2 factory floor, if they are provided any type of safety training

Q. And you're required to wear this badge at all times when

- 3 before they're allowed on the factory floor?
- 4 A. No, I wouldn't know that.
- 5 Q. But it's your position, according to your testimony, that
- 6 anyone who is there -- contractors, employees -- they were all
- 7 treated the same and you couldn't tell who was who; correct?
- 8 A. You're misstating my testimony.
- 9 Q. Well, let me ask you this. It was your testimony that
- 10 when you got to Tesla, you couldn't tell who a Tesla employee
- 11 was or a contractor or anyone else; correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And I also understand that -- I believe you stated that
- 14 basically from almost the first day that you started working at
- 15 the Tesla factory in Fremont, you were being called racial
- 16 slurs; is that correct?
- 17 A. No. I never stated almost the first day, ma'am.
- 18 Q. Was it within the first two weeks?
- 19 A. I can't recall when it first started.
- 20 Q. Was it before or after August of 2015?
- 21 A. It was before.
- 22 O. So sometime between June and between August of 2015 is
- 23 when the racial slurs started; correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And you would agree all those racial slurs that were said

500

Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 282-1 Filed 10/01/21 Page 6 of 6

Diaz vs Tesla, Inc. C 17-6748 WHO Volume 3

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR

Wednesday, September 29, 2021